

Norfolk Boreas Offshore Wind Farm

Offshore Ornithology

Assessment Update Cumulative and In-combination Collision Risk Modelling

Applicant: Norfolk Boreas Limited
Document Reference: ExA.AS-1.D6.V1
Deadline 6

Date: March 2020
Revision: Version 1

Executive Summary

Following requests from the Examining Authority, Natural England and the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds to consider options for raising draught height to mitigate potential ornithological impacts as far as possible, the Applicant has undertaken a detailed review of a range of mitigation options. This review was not limited to raising draught height, but also considered alternative turbine models as well as the capacity and availability of construction vessels. This has led to a commitment to remove smaller capacity turbines (i.e. less than 11.55MW) from the project design envelope and to increase the draught height (defined here as the gap between the lower rotor tip and the sea surface at Mean High Water Spring (MHWS)) as far as possible within the limit imposed by the installation capacity of available construction vessels.

The previous maximum number of turbines under consideration was 180 x 10MW turbines with a draught height of 22m¹. This design is no longer being considered and has been replaced with either 158 x 11.55MW turbines with a draught height of 35m (i.e. an increase of 13m) or 124 x 14.7MW turbines with a draught height of 30m (i.e. an increase of 8m). The 11.55MW turbine represents a guaranteed design option as this model is currently commercially available, while the 14.7MW turbine is expected to be available in the project's construction timeframe.

The change in turbine option alone (i.e. without any increase in draught height) would reduce collision risks by approximately 35%. This is equivalent to the reduction in collisions obtained with the original turbine (180 x 10MW) at 27m (i.e. a 5m increase in draught height). Therefore, from a collision risk perspective, since the change in turbine equates to a 5m increase in height, when this is added to the actual height increases of 8m and 13m, the overall reduction in collisions is equivalent to draught height increases of between 13m (14.7MW) and 18m (11.55MW).

The collision risk estimates for the 14.7MW turbine at 30m are slightly higher than those for the 11.55MW turbine at 35m, and therefore the 14.7MW design is the worst case scenario for this impact. The total annual collision predictions for the 14.7MW turbine at 30m draught height, compared with the collision predictions in the DCO application at the point of submission, are reduced by 74% for gannet, 73% for little gull, 72% for kittiwake, 64% for lesser black-backed gull, and 63% for both herring gull and great black-backed gull. The project alone figures were submitted by the Applicant at Deadline 5 (REP5-059) and the design commitments were reflected in the updated draft DCO also submitted at Deadline 5 (REP5-003).

¹ This was the worst case scenario for collision risk modelling, CRM, at the time of application submission, June 11, 2019 (APP-226).

This note provides updated cumulative and in-combination collision estimates which include those for Norfolk Boreas as well as for other projects as follows:

- Norfolk Vanguard, for all species of concern for collision risk (gannet, kittiwake, lesser black-backed gull, herring gull, great black-backed gull and little gull). This revision applies the same design change commitments applied to Norfolk Boreas as detailed above;
- Dogger Bank Creyke Beck A and B, using consented estimates for gannet and kittiwake in place of those in the project's non-material change application, as requested by Natural England (REP4-040); and
- East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO, the addition of estimates for little gull, as requested by Natural England (REP4-040).

In addition, Hornsea Project Three has recently submitted revised collision predictions for kittiwake² and these are discussed in this note (as far as the Applicant is aware the update only includes kittiwake). However, following advice from Natural England, these revised figures have not been used in the tabulated estimate of cumulative and in-combination collisions for this species.

In the Applicant's updated ornithology assessment submitted at Deadline 2 (REP2-035) it was concluded there would be no significant impacts due to collision risks for the project alone or cumulatively and there would be no adverse effects on the integrity of any Special Protection Area (SPA) populations due to the project alone or in-combination with other plans and projects. These conclusions remain unchanged following the inclusion of the revised estimates, and furthermore the contribution to the total figures from Norfolk Boreas has been substantially reduced.

| Date | Issue No. | Remarks / Reason for Issue | Author | Checked | Approved |
|------------|-----------|----------------------------|--------|---------|----------|
| 05/03/2020 | 01D | First draft for Deadline 6 | MT | EV | JL |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |



Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Executive Summary | ii |
| 1 Introduction | 1 |
| 2 Cumulative and in-combination tables | 2 |
| 3 Conclusions | 11 |

Table of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 2.1 Updated gannet cumulative and in-combination collision risk. | 3 |
| Table 2.2 Updated kittiwake cumulative and in-combination collision risk. | 4 |
| Table 2.3 Updated lesser black-backed gull cumulative and in-combination collision risk. | 6 |
| Table 2.4 Herring gull cumulative collision risk. | 8 |
| Table 2.5 Great black-backed gull cumulative collision risk. | 9 |
| Table 2.6 Assessed collision rates for little gull at offshore wind farm sites with potential connectivity to the Greater Wash SPA. | 10 |

Glossary of Acronyms

| | |
|------|--|
| CRM | Collision Risk Modelling |
| EIA | Environmental Impact Assessment |
| HRA | Habitats Regulations Assessment |
| MHWS | Mean High Water Spring |
| PEIR | Preliminary Environmental Information Report |
| PVA | Population Viability Analysis |
| SPA | Special Protection Area |

1 Introduction

1. The Applicant submitted updated project alone collision risk modelling at Deadline 5 (REP5-059) which reflected the following project design updates:
 - Removal of the smallest turbine options from the design envelope, specifically the 10MW and 11MW turbines, with the smallest turbine now included in the design having a capacity of 11.55MW (this turbine is included as it is currently available and is therefore a guaranteed design option). For the purposes of CRM a larger capacity turbine (14.7MW) has also been assessed (this turbine is included as it is expected to be available in the project's construction timeframe); and,
 - An increase in draught height (the minimum distance between the lower rotor tip height and the sea surface) to 30m above Mean High Water Springs (MHWS) for the 14.7MW turbine and 35m for the 11.55MW turbine.
2. These changes have reduced the project's collision risk by between 63% and 74% (REP5-059).
3. This note provides an update of the cumulative and in-combination collision risk tables which include the Norfolk Boreas design change, with the following additional revisions:
 - Revised figures for Norfolk Vanguard (the same design changes have been applied to this project, as detailed in documents submitted to the Planning Inspectorate on the 28th February 2020²);
 - Revised figures for gannet and kittiwake for Dogger Bank Creyke Beck A and B wind farms using the consented estimates in place of those in the project's non-material change application (as advised by Natural England); and,
 - Inclusion of little gull collisions for the East Anglia ONE North and East Anglia TWO wind farms.
4. Following Natural England's advice, and as was presented in the submission at Deadline 2 (REP2-035), the summed collision estimates have been presented with and without the inclusion of the figures for Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four.
5. The figures used for both these wind farms in the cumulative/in-combination tables are unchanged from those used in REP2-035. However, the Applicant notes that following a request for more information from the Secretary of State, Hornsea Project Three submitted revised kittiwake collision estimates to the Planning Inspectorate on the 14th February 2020². These figures have been considered in the text below, with respect to how these would change the totals. However, the

Applicant was advised by Natural England not to use the updated figures in the tables.

6. The figures for Hornsea Project Four remain those presented in that project's Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR).

2 Cumulative and in-combination tables

7. The following tables provide the revised cumulative (Environmental Impact Assessment, EIA) and in-combination (Habitats Regulations Assessment, HRA) collision risks for gannet (Table 2.1), kittiwake (Table 2.2), lesser black-backed gull (Table 2.3), herring gull (Table 2.4), great black-backed gull (Table 2.5) and little gull (Table 2.6).
8. The Applicant considers that Natural England's approach to apportioning kittiwake and lesser black-backed gull impacts to SPAs for Norfolk Boreas (and Norfolk Vanguard) is overly precautionary as Natural England's methods apply the full breeding season and over-estimated apportioning rates. The Applicant presented their preferred, evidence-based, estimates for the number of Norfolk Boreas collisions apportioned to these SPA populations alongside Natural England's in REP5-059.
9. The figures included in the cumulative/in-combination tables in this update follow Natural England's advice. However, the Applicant's estimates for Norfolk Boreas and Norfolk Vanguard have been added as footnotes to the relevant tables below.
10. All the revised figures in the tables below are presented in bold (compared with REP2-035) to assist identification of changes.

Table 2.1 Updated gannet cumulative and in-combination collision risk.

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Autumn migration | | Spring migration | | Annual | |
|----------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA |
| 1 | Beatrice Demonstrator | 0.6 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.04 | 0.7 | 0.05 | 2.2 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Greater Gabbard | 14 | 0 | 8.8 | 0.42 | 4.8 | 0.3 | 27.5 | 0.7 |
| 1 | Gunfleet Sands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats | 1.4 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.04 | 1.1 | 0.07 | 3.3 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Kentish Flats Extension | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Lincs | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 0.06 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 5 | 2.3 |
| 1 | London Array | 2.3 | 0 | 1.4 | 0.07 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 5.5 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Lynn and Inner Dowsing | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Scroby Sands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Sheringham Shoal | 14.1 | 14.1 | 3.5 | 0.17 | 0 | 0 | 17.6 | 14.3 |
| 1 | Teesside | 4.9 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 0.08 | 0 | 0 | 6.7 | 2.5 |
| 1 | Thanet | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 |
| 1 | Humber Gateway | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 0.05 | 1.5 | 0.09 | 4.5 | 2 |
| 1 | Westermost Rough | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.01 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Hywind | 5.6 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.04 | 0.8 | 0.05 | 7.2 | 0.1 |
| 2 | Kincardine | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 2 | Beatrice | 37.4 | 0 | 48.8 | 2.34 | 9.5 | 0.59 | 95.7 | 2.9 |
| 2 | Dudgeon | 22.3 | 22.3 | 38.9 | 1.87 | 19.1 | 1.18 | 80.3 | 25.3 |
| 2 | Galloper | 18.1 | 0 | 30.9 | 1.48 | 12.6 | 0.78 | 61.6 | 2.3 |
| 2 | Race Bank | 33.7 | 33.7 | 11.7 | 0.56 | 4.1 | 0.25 | 49.5 | 34.5 |
| 2 | Rampion | 36.2 | 0 | 63.5 | 3.05 | 2.1 | 0.13 | 101.8 | 3.2 |
| 2 | Hornsea Project One | 11.5 | 11.5 | 32 | 1.54 | 22.5 | 1.4 | 66 | 14.4 |
| 3 | Blyth Demonstration Project | 3.5 | 0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.17 | 8.4 | 0.3 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Creyke Beck Projects A and B | 81.1 | 40.6 | 83.5 | 4.0 | 54.4 | 3.4 | 219.0 | 47.9 |
| 3 | East Anglia ONE | 3.4 | 3.4 | 131 | 6.29 | 6.3 | 0.39 | 140.7 | 10.1 |
| 3 | European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre | 4.2 | 0 | 5.1 | 0.25 | 0.1 | 0 | 9.3 | 0.3 |
| 3 | Firth of Forth Alpha and Bravo | 800.8 | 0 | 49.3 | 2.37 | 65.8 | 4.08 | 915.9 | 6.4 |
| 3 | Inch Cape | 336.9 | 0 | 29.2 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 0.32 | 371.3 | 1.7 |
| 3 | Methil | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | Moray Firth (EDA) | 80.6 | 0 | 35.4 | 1.7 | 8.9 | 0.55 | 124.9 | 2.3 |
| 3 | Near na Gaoithe | 143 | 0 | 47 | 2.26 | 23 | 1.43 | 213 | 3.7 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Teesside Projects A and B | 14.8 | 7.4 | 10.1 | 0.49 | 10.8 | 0.67 | 35.7 | 8.5 |
| 3 | Triton Knoll | 26.8 | 26.8 | 64.1 | 3.08 | 30.1 | 1.87 | 121 | 31.7 |
| 3 | Hornsea Project Two | 7 | 7 | 14 | 0.67 | 6 | 0.37 | 27 | 8 |
| 4 | East Anglia THREE | 6.1 | 6.1 | 33.3 | 1.6 | 9.6 | 0.6 | 49 | 8.3 |
| 5 | Hornsea Project Three | 26 | 26 | 12 | 0.58 | 11 | 0.68 | 49 | 27.3 |
| 5 | Thanet Extension | 0 | 0 | 11.1 | 0.53 | 22.9 | 1.42 | 34 | 2 |
| 5 | Norfolk Vanguard | 8.2 | 8.2 | 18.6 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 32.1 | 9.6 |
| 6 | Moray West | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.06 | 13 | 0.2 |
| 6 | Norfolk Boreas | 14.1 | 14.2 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 0.2 | 30.7 | 15.1 |
| 6 | East Anglia TWO | 12.7 | 12.7 | 28.7 | 1.38 | 5.6 | 0.35 | 47 | 14.4 |
| 6 | East Anglia ONE North | 11 | 11 | 12.8 | 0.61 | 3.4 | 0.21 | 27.2 | 11.8 |
| 6 | Hornsea 4 (PEIR) | 43.3 | 43.3 | 9.9 | 0.48 | 8.1 | 0.5 | 61.3 | 44.3 |
| | Total (all projects) | 1850.1 | 295.1 | 858.2 | 41.7 | 366.9 | 22.7 | 3075.0 | 359.2 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three) | 1824.1 | 269.1 | 846.2 | 41.2 | 355.9 | 22.0 | 3026.0 | 331.9 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Four)) | 1806.8 | 251.8 | 848.3 | 41.3 | 358.8 | 22.2 | 3013.7 | 314.9 |

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Autumn migration | | Spring migration | | Annual | |
|------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four) | 1780.8 | 225.8 | 836.3 | 40.7 | 347.8 | 21.5 | 2964.7 | 287.6 |

11. In response to a request from the Secretary of State to consider additional mitigation, Hornsea Project Three submitted revised kittiwake collisions to the Planning Inspectorate on the 14th February 2020². The Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA kittiwake collision estimate for this project, presented using methods which correspond to Natural England's advice, has been reduced from 181 to 65-73. The Applicant, following advice from Natural England that they have not had time to review the revisions, has continued to use the values presented during the examination (i.e. the annual total of 181, Table 2.2). However, it is worth noting that irrespective of the actual collision estimates, the Hornsea Project Three design changes (an increase in draught height and a reduction in turbine number) will have resulted in reduced collisions, and subject to confirmation by Natural England, this will be potentially by more than 100. Therefore, the in-combination totals in Table 2.2 which include Hornsea Project Three will over-estimate the revised total by the same margin.

Table 2.2 Updated kittiwake cumulative and in-combination collision risk.

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Autumn migration | | Spring migration | | Annual | |
|------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|--------|---------|
| | | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA |
| 1 | Beatrice Demonstrator | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 3.8 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Greater Gabbard | 1.1 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 0.8 | 11.4 | 0.8 | 27.5 | 1.6 |
| 1 | Gunfleet Sands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Kentish Flats Extension | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 0.2 | 2.7 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Lincs | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 0.8 |
| 1 | London Array | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.8 | 0.1 | 5.5 | 0.3 |
| 1 | Lynn and Inner Dowsing | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Scroby Sands | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Sheringham Shoal | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Teesside | 38.4 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 64.9 | 1.5 |
| 1 | Thanet | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Humber Gateway | 1.9 | 1.9 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 2.2 |
| 1 | Westermost Rough | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Hywind | 16.6 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 18.3 | 0.1 |
| 2 | Kincardine | 22.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 32.0 | 0.6 |
| 2 | Beatrice | 94.7 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 0.6 | 39.8 | 2.9 | 145.2 | 3.5 |

² https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010080/EN010080-003194-HOW03_CON02_Appendix4%20Annexes_Mitigation.EnvelopeModifications.pdf

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Autumn migration | | Spring migration | | Annual | |
|----------|---|-----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA | Total | FFC SPA |
| 2 | Dudgeon | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| 2 | Galloper | 6.3 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 1.5 | 31.8 | 2.3 | 65.9 | 3.8 |
| 2 | Race Bank | 1.9 | 1.9 | 23.9 | 1.3 | 5.6 | 0.4 | 31.4 | 3.6 |
| 2 | Rampion | 54.4 | 0.0 | 37.4 | 2.0 | 29.7 | 2.1 | 121.5 | 4.2 |
| 2 | Hornsea Project One | 44.0 | 36.5 | 55.9 | 3.0 | 20.9 | 1.5 | 120.8 | 41.0 |
| 3 | Blyth Demonstration Project | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.4 | 0.1 | 5.4 | 0.2 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Creyke Beck Projects A and B | 288.6 | 55.8 | 135.0 | 7.3 | 295.4 | 21.3 | 719.0 | 84.3 |
| 3 | East Anglia ONE | 1.8 | 0.0 | 160.4 | 8.7 | 46.8 | 3.4 | 209.0 | 12.0 |
| 3 | European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre | 11.8 | 0.0 | 5.8 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 18.7 | 0.4 |
| 3 | Firth of Forth Alpha and Bravo | 153.1 | 0.0 | 313.1 | 16.9 | 247.6 | 17.8 | 713.8 | 34.7 |
| 3 | Inch Cape | 13.1 | 0.0 | 224.8 | 12.1 | 63.5 | 4.6 | 301.4 | 16.7 |
| 3 | Methil | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 3 | Moray Firth (EDA) | 43.6 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 19.3 | 1.4 | 64.9 | 1.5 |
| 3 | Neart na Gaoithe | 32.9 | 0.0 | 56.1 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 0.3 | 93.4 | 3.4 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Teesside Projects A and B | 136.9 | 26.4 | 90.7 | 4.9 | 216.9 | 15.6 | 444.5 | 46.9 |
| 3 | Triton Knoll | 24.6 | 24.6 | 139.0 | 7.5 | 45.4 | 3.3 | 209.0 | 35.4 |
| 3 | Hornsea Project Two | 16.0 | 13.3 | 9.0 | 0.5 | 3.0 | 0.2 | 28.0 | 14.0 |
| 4 | East Anglia THREE | 6.1 | 0.0 | 69.0 | 3.7 | 37.6 | 2.7 | 112.7 | 6.4 |
| 5 | Hornsea Project Three | 187.5 | 176.3 | 94.6 | 5.1 | 15.0 | 1.1 | 297.1 | 181.0 |
| 5 | Thanet Extension | 2.3 | 0.0 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 15.3 | 1.1 | 22.9 | 1.4 |
| 5 | Norfolk Vanguard* | 21.8 | 18.7 | 16.4 | 0.9 | 19.3 | 1.4 | 57.5 | 21.0 |
| 6 | Moray West | 79.0 | 0.0 | 24.0 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 0.5 | 110.0 | 1.8 |
| 6 | Norfolk Boreas* | 13.3 | 11.4 | 32.2 | 1.7 | 11.9 | 0.9 | 57.5 | 14.0 |
| 6 | East Anglia TWO | 19.8 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.5 | 20.9 | 1.5 | 50.0 | 2.0 |
| 6 | East Anglia ONE North | 18.6 | 0.0 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 27.3 | 1.9 | 58.0 | 2.6 |
| 6 | Hornsea 4 (PEIR) | 153.3 | 153.3 | 34.7 | 1.9 | 9.9 | 0.7 | 197.9 | 155.9 |
| | Total (all projects) | 1509.9 | 520.9 | 1650.8 | 89.1 | 1262.6 | 90.9 | 4423.4 | 699.4 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three) | 1246.9 | 273.6 | 1518.1 | 82.0 | 1241.5 | 89.4 | 4006.6 | 444.9 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Four) | 1356.6 | 367.6 | 1616.1 | 87.3 | 1252.7 | 90.2 | 4225.5 | 542.5 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four) | 1169.1 | 191.3 | 1521.5 | 82.2 | 1237.7 | 89.1 | 3928.4 | 362.5 |

* Using the Applicant's evidence-based methods the annual HRA estimates for Norfolk Vanguard and Norfolk Boreas are 4.6 and 6.1 respectively (compared with 21 and 14 using Natural England's precautionary apportioning rates).

Table 2.3 Updated lesser black-backed gull cumulative and in-combination collision risk.

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Nonbreeding season | | Annual | |
|------|--|-----------------|------------|--------------------|------------|-------------|--|
| | | Total | AOE SPA | Total | AOE SPA | Total | AOE SPA (nonbreeding season apportioned plus breeding season for wind farms <141km)* |
| 1 | Beatrice Demonstrator | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Greater Gabbard | 12.4 | 8 | 49.6 | 2 | 62 | 10 |
| 1 | Gunfleet Sands | 1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.3 |
| 1 | Kentish Flats | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats Extension | 0.3 | 0.1 | 1.3 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 1 | Lincs | 1.7 | | 6.8 | 0.3 | 8.5 | 0.3 |
| 1 | London Array | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Lynn and Inner Dowsing | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Scroby Sands | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | Sheringham Shoal | 1.7 | 0.3 | 6.6 | 0.3 | 8.3 | 0.6 |
| 1 | Teesside | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Thanet | 3.2 | 1.4 | 12.8 | 0.5 | 16 | 1.9 |
| 1 | Humber Gateway | 0.3 | | 1.1 | 0 | 1.4 | 0 |
| 1 | Westermost Rough | 0.1 | | 0.3 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 |
| 1 | Hywind | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Kincardine | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Beatrice | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Dudgeon | 7.7 | 1.1 | 30.6 | 1.2 | 38.3 | 2.3 |
| 2 | Galloper | 27.8 | 18 | 111 | 4.4 | 138.8 | 22.4 |
| 2 | Race Bank | 43.2 | | 10.8 | 0.4 | 54 | 0.4 |
| 2 | Rampion | 1.6 | | 6.3 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 0.3 |
| 2 | Hornsea Project One | 4.4 | | 17.4 | 0.7 | 21.8 | 0.7 |
| 3 | Blyth Demonstration Project | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Creyke Beck Projects A and B | 2.6 | | 10.4 | 0.4 | 13 | 0.4 |
| 3 | East Anglia ONE | 5.9 | 2.2 | 33.8 | 1.4 | 39.7 | 3.6 |
| 3 | European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Firth of Forth Alpha and Bravo | 2.1 | | 8.4 | 0.3 | 10.5 | 0.3 |
| 3 | Inch Cape | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Methil | 0.5 | | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 |
| 3 | Moray Firth (EDA) | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Nearrt na Gaoithe | 0.3 | | 1.2 | 0 | 1.5 | 0 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Teesside Projects A and B | 2.4 | | 9.6 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.4 |
| 3 | Triton Knoll | 7.4 | | 29.6 | 1.2 | 37 | 1.2 |
| 3 | Hornsea Project Two | 2 | | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| 4 | East Anglia THREE | 1.8 | 0.4 | 8.2 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.7 |
| 5 | Hornsea Project Three | 17.3 | | 0 | 0 | 17.3 | 0 |
| 5 | Thanet Extension | 3 | 1.3 | 2 | 0.1 | 5 | 1.4 |
| 5 | Norfolk Vanguard | 8.4 | 2.5 | 3.6 | 0.1 | 12 | 2.6[#] |
| 6 | Moray West | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | Norfolk Boreas | 6.2 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 0.2 | 14.3 | 2.1[#] |
| 6 | East Anglia TWO | 4.7 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 5.2 | 1.8 |
| 6 | East Anglia ONE North | 1 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0 | 1.6 | 0.2 |
| 6 | <i>Hornsea 4 (PEIR)</i> | 1.9 | | 0 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 |

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | | Nonbreeding season | | Annual | |
|------|---|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | | Total | AOE SPA | Total | AOE SPA | Total | AOE SPA (nonbreeding season apportioned plus breeding season for wind farms <141km)* |
| | Total (all projects) | 172.9 | 39.5 | 372.6 | 14.7 | 545.5 | 54.2 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three) | 155.6 | 39.5 | 372.6 | 14.7 | 528.2 | 54.2 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Four) | 171 | 39.5 | 372.6 | 14.7 | 543.6 | 54.2 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four) | 153.7 | 39.5 | 372.6 | 14.7 | 526.3 | 54.2 |

* The apportioning of lesser black-backed gull collisions to the Alde Ore Estuary SPA from breeding colonies in Norfolk and Suffolk uses the connectivity rates estimated in Table 7.3 of REP2-035.

Using the Applicant's evidence-based methods the annual HRA estimates for Norfolk Vanguard and Norfolk Boreas are both 1.6 (compared with 2.6 and 2.1 using Natural England's precautionary apportioning rates).

Table 2.4 Herring gull cumulative collision risk.

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | Nonbreeding season | Annual |
|----------|---|-----------------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1 | Beatrice Demonstrator | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | Greater Gabbard | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | Gunfleet Sands | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Kentish Flats Extension | 0.5 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| 1 | Lincs | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | London Array | - | - | - |
| 1 | Lynn and Inner Dowsing | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | Scroby Sands | - | - | - |
| 1 | Sheringham Shoal | 0 | | 0 |
| 1 | Teesside | 8.7 | 34.5 | 43.2 |
| 1 | Thanet | 4.9 | 19.6 | 24.5 |
| 1 | Humber Gateway | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.5 |
| 1 | Westermost Rough | 0.1 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Hywind | 0.6 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| 2 | Kincardine | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | Beatrice | 49.4 | 197.4 | 246.8 |
| 2 | Dudgeon | - | - | - |
| 2 | Galloper | 27.2 | | 27.2 |
| 2 | Race Bank | 0 | | 0 |
| 2 | Rampion | 155 | | 155 |
| 2 | Hornsea Project One | 2.9 | 11.6 | 14.5 |
| 3 | Blyth Demonstration Project | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.7 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Creyke Beck Projects A and B | 0 | | 0 |
| 3 | East Anglia ONE | 0 | 28 | 28 |
| 3 | European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre | 4.8 | | 4.8 |
| 3 | Firth of Forth Alpha and Bravo | 10 | 21 | 31 |
| 3 | Inch Cape | 0 | 13.5 | 13.5 |
| 3 | Methil | 5.8 | 3.7 | 9.5 |
| 3 | Moray Firth (EDA) | 52 | | 52 |
| 3 | Neart na Gaoithe | 5 | 12.5 | 17.5 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Teesside Projects A and B | 0 | | 0 |
| 3 | Triton Knoll | 0 | | 0 |
| 3 | Hornsea Project Two | 23.8 | | 23.8 |
| 4 | East Anglia THREE | 0 | 23 | 23 |
| 5 | Hornsea Project Three | 1 | 8.3 | 9.3 |
| 5 | Thanet Extension | 15 | 10 | 25 |
| 5 | Norfolk Vanguard | 0.4 | 7.1 | 7.5 |
| 6 | Moray West | 12 | 1 | 13 |
| 6 | Norfolk Boreas | 1.5 | 5.4 | 6.9 |
| 6 | East Anglia TWO | 0 | 0.5 | 0.5 |
| 6 | East Anglia ONE North | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | <i>Hornsea 4 (PEIR)</i> | 1.8 | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| | Total (all projects) | 384.3 | 410.7 | 795 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three) | 383.3 | 402.4 | 785.7 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Four) | 382.5 | 409.9 | 792.4 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four) | 381.5 | 401.6 | 783.1 |

Table 2.5 Great black-backed gull cumulative collision risk.

| Tier | Wind farm | Breeding season | Nonbreeding season | Annual |
|----------|---|-----------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Beatrice Demonstrator | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Greater Gabbard | 15 | 60 | 75 |
| 1 | Gunfleet Sands | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats | - | - | - |
| 1 | Kentish Flats Extension | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| 1 | Lincs | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | London Array | - | - | - |
| 1 | Lynn and Inner Dowsing | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Scroby Sands | - | - | - |
| 1 | Sheringham Shoal | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 | Teesside | 8.7 | 34.8 | 43.6 |
| 1 | Thanet | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 |
| 1 | Humber Gateway | 1.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| 1 | Westermost Rough | 0 | 0 | 0.1 |
| 1 | Hywind | 0.3 | 4.5 | 4.8 |
| 2 | Kincardine | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Beatrice | 30.2 | 120.8 | 151 |
| 2 | Dudgeon | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Galloper | 4.5 | 18 | 22.5 |
| 2 | Race Bank | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Rampion | 5.2 | 20.8 | 26 |
| 2 | Hornsea Project One | 17.2 | 68.6 | 85.8 |
| 3 | Blyth Demonstration Project | 1.3 | 5.1 | 6.3 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Creyke Beck Projects A and B | 5.8 | 23.3 | 29.1 |
| 3 | East Anglia ONE | 0 | 46 | 46 |
| 3 | European Offshore Wind Deployment Centre | 0.6 | 2.4 | 3 |
| 3 | Firth of Forth Alpha and Bravo | 13.4 | 53.4 | 66.8 |
| 3 | Inch Cape | 0 | 36.8 | 36.8 |
| 3 | Methil | 0.8 | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 3 | Moray Firth (EDA) | 9.5 | 25.5 | 35 |
| 3 | Nearnt na Gaoithe | 0.9 | 3.6 | 4.5 |
| 3 | Dogger Bank Teesside Projects A and B | 6.4 | 25.5 | 31.9 |
| 3 | Triton Knoll | 24.4 | 97.6 | 122 |
| 3 | Hornsea Project Two | 3 | 20 | 23 |
| 4 | East Anglia THREE | 4.6 | 34.4 | 39 |
| 5 | Hornsea Project Three | 19.4 | 46.6 | 66 |
| 5 | Thanet Extension | 6.5 | 35.5 | 42 |
| 5 | Norfolk Vanguard | 4.5 | 21.5 | 26 |
| 6 | Moray West | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 6 | Norfolk Boreas | 6.9 | 28.7 | 35.6 |
| 6 | East Anglia TWO | 3.8 | 3.7 | 7.5 |
| 6 | East Anglia ONE North | 3.9 | 1.3 | 5.2 |
| 6 | <i>Hornsea 4 (PEIR)</i> | 3 | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| | Total (all projects) | 205.3 | 863.5 | 1065.8 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three) | 185.9 | 816.9 | 999.8 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Four) | 202.3 | 849.9 | 1052.2 |
| | Total (minus Hornsea Project Three and Hornsea Project Four) | 182.9 | 803.3 | 986.2 |

12. Little gull collisions are only presented in relation to those wind farms with connectivity to the Greater Wash SPA and for which collision estimates have been presented. Table 2.6 provides an update of the in-combination table for this species in REP2-035.

Table 2.6 Assessed collision rates for little gull at offshore wind farm sites with potential connectivity to the Greater Wash SPA.

| Wind farm | Annual collisions | Avoidance rate (%) | Assessed wind farm size | Collisions updated for 99.2% avoidance rate | Built or proposed wind farm size | Collisions updated for built or proposed wind farm |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|
| Triton Knoll | 65 | 98 | 288 x 3.6MW | 26 | 90 x 9.5MW | c. 15 |
| Race Bank | 52 | 98 | 206 x 3MW | 21 | 91 x 6MW | 12 |
| Sheringham Shoal | 8 | 98 | 108 x 3MW | 3 | 88 x 3.6MW | 3 |
| Hornsea Project One | 10 | 98 | 332 x 3.6MW | 4 | 174 x 7MW | 2 |
| Hornsea Project Two | 1.3 | 98 | 360 x 5MW | 0.5 | N/A | 0.5 |
| Hornsea Project Three | 0.5 | 99.2 | 300 x 6MW | 0.5 | N/A | 0.5 |
| Norfolk Vanguard | 2.5 | 99.2 | 124 x 14.7MW | 2.5 | N/A | 2.5 |
| Norfolk Boreas | 1.1 | 99.2 | 124 x 14.7MW | 1.1 | N/A | 1.1 |
| East Anglia ONE North | 1.1 | 99.2 | 53 x 15MW | 1.1 | N/A | 1.1 |
| East Anglia TWO | 1.7 | 99.2 | 60 x 15MW | 1.7 | N/A | 1.7 |
| Total | 143.2 | | | 61.4 | | 39.4 |

3 Conclusions

13. The cumulative and in-combination collision risk tables in this submission replace those presented in the Applicant's original assessment (APP-226) and the Deadline 2 submission (REP2-035). Following the Applicant's design revisions, the contribution to the totals from Norfolk Boreas has been substantially reduced, by between 62% and 74%, compared with the previous figures.
14. The number of kittiwake collisions apportioned to the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA at Norfolk Boreas has been reduced by 76%, from 58 to 14 (note that using the Applicant's apportioning rates the equivalent reduction is from 21.4 to 6.1). A similar reduction has also been achieved for Norfolk Vanguard, with SPA kittiwake collisions reduced from 42 (at the close of the examination) to 21, following a commitment to the same design mitigation as Norfolk Boreas (note that using the Applicant's apportioning rates the equivalent reduction is from 9.3 to 4.6).
15. While the contributions from Norfolk Boreas and Norfolk Vanguard have decreased, the total cumulative and in-combination kittiwake collision estimates are slightly higher in Table 2.6 than those submitted at Deadline 2 (an additional 8 collisions apportioned to the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA, and an additional 26 collisions cumulatively). This is a result of using the Dogger Bank Creyke Beck A and B consented collision estimates rather than those in the project's non-material change application (a change which the Applicant was advised to make by Natural England, REP4-039). However, the assessment conclusions in REP2-035 were based on modelled mortality estimates in the Population Viability Analysis (PVA) that were slightly higher than the cumulative and in-combination totals. Therefore, the conclusions in REP2-035 for kittiwake remain valid and the Applicant considers there is no risk of significant impacts (at the EIA scale) and there will be no adverse effects on integrity of the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA population due to collision risk impacts at Norfolk Boreas alone or in-combination with other plans and projects.
16. Furthermore, Hornsea Project Three has recently submitted design revisions to the Planning Inspectorate which have presented reduced collision risks for kittiwake². Although the Applicant has followed Natural England's advice and not included these updated figures for Hornsea Project Three, consideration has been given below to how the revised estimates would affect the totals.
17. The reduction in Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA kittiwake collisions at Hornsea Project Three, described as using Natural England's methods, is 108. This is four times greater than the increase of 27 due to using the consented figure for Dogger Bank Creyke Beck (84.3) rather than the non-material change value (57.4). Thus, while the overall total in Table 2.2 is slightly higher than that in REP2-035, once the above revisions (reductions for Norfolk Boreas, Norfolk Vanguard and Hornsea

Project Three and an increase for Dogger Bank Creyke Beck) are taken into account the total (including Hornsea Projects Three and Four) would be reduced from 700 to 592.

18. This further supports the Applicant's position that there will be no significant impacts for kittiwake due to Norfolk Boreas alone or cumulatively (at the EIA scale) and no adverse effects on the integrity of the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA population due to collision risk impacts at Norfolk Boreas alone or in-combination with other plans and projects.
19. As the updated cumulative and in-combination totals for gannet (Table 2.1), lesser black-backed gull (Table 2.3), herring gull (Table 2.4), great black-backed gull (Table 2.5) and little gull (Table 2.6) are all lower than those in REP2-035, the assessment conclusions for these species presented in REP2-035 remain the same and the Applicant considers that there will be no significant impacts due to Norfolk Boreas alone or cumulatively (at the EIA scale) and no adverse effects on integrity of any SPA population due to collision risk impacts at Norfolk Boreas alone or in-combination with other plans and projects.
20. It is also worth noting that although Hornsea Project Three has not provided collision risk updates for these other species, the design mitigations will also reduce the collision predictions compared to those currently used in the cumulative and in-combination assessments.
21. In addition, the collision estimates for many of the wind farms in the cumulative and in-combination tables have been calculated on the basis of worst case wind farm designs submitted for application, rather than using parameters for the turbines which have actually been built (i.e. the number of turbines and their dimensions). Many wind farms have been built with fewer turbines than the number for which the project was assessed or consented and these changes reduce the collision risk. While Natural England has agreed that these changes reduce collision risks, due to concerns that even after a wind farm has been built (to a different design compared with the consented one) there could be scope for further development, Natural England advises that the figures to be used in cumulative and in-combination assessment should reflect the consented design (as this is legally secured, REP4-040).
22. The Applicant has followed Natural England's advice on this matter and presented the figures advised by Natural England. However, in REP4-014 the Applicant presented legal arguments for why built wind farms can't be extended at a later date and in ExA;AS-4,D6.V1 the Applicant has also presented revised collision estimates and the difference in collision risk between the consented and built wind farm designs (referred to as 'headroom'). The latter note illustrates the headroom available for kittiwake from the Flamborough and Filey Coast SPA obtained from

updating the collision risk for just two wind farms (Hornsea Project One and Triton Knoll). For these two wind farms the reduction in mortality sums to 39.5, which exceeds the revised kittiwake collision risks (using Natural England methods; Table 2.2) for Norfolk Boreas (14) and Norfolk Vanguard (21) combined. Similar changes in project design have been made for other wind farms included in the cumulative and in-combination totals and therefore application of these methods would further reduce the total collision risks (and increase headroom), and this applies to all species included in the assessment. It is clear therefore that use of collision figures which reflect the consented design rather than the as-built wind farm in the cumulative and in-combination assessment represents another source of over-precaution in the ornithology assessment.